

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



LINDA S. ADAMS
SECRETARY FOR
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1001 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814 • P.O. Box 2815, Sacramento, California 95812-2815 (916) 323-2514 • (916) 324-0908 Fax • <u>www.calepa.ca.gov</u>

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
GOVERNOR

Certified Mail: 7000 0600 0027 1155 2765

March 9, 2009

Mr. Steve Boyack Assistant Director Tuolumne County Environmental Health 20075 Cedar Road North Sonora, California 95370

Dear Mr. Boyack:

The California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) conducted a program evaluation of the Tuolumne County Environmental Health Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) on February 4, 2009. The evaluation was comprised of an in-office program review by the State evaluator. The evaluator completed a Certified Unified Program Agency Evaluation Summary of Findings with your agency's program management staff. The Summary of Findings includes identified deficiencies, a list of preliminary corrective actions, program observations, program recommendations, and examples of outstanding program implementation.

The enclosed Evaluation Summary of Findings is now considered final and based upon review, I find that Tuolumne County Environmental Health program performance is unsatisfactory with improvement needed. To complete the evaluation process, please submit Deficiency Progress Reports to Cal/EPA that depict your agency's progress towards correcting the identified deficiencies. Please submit your Deficiency Progress Reports to Kareem Taylor every 90 days after the evaluation date. The first deficiency progress report is due on May 5, 2009.

Thank you for your continued commitment to the protection of public health and the environment through the implementation of your local Unified Program. If you have any questions or need further assistance, you may contact your evaluation team leader or Jim Bohon, Manager, Cal/EPA Unified Program at (916) 327-5097 or by email at jbohon@calepa.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

[Original Signed by Jim Bohon for]

Don Johnson
Assistant Secretary
California Environmental Protection Agency

Enclosure

Mr. Steve Boyack Page 2 March 9, 2009

cc: Sent via email:

Ms. Sheilah Lillie Environmental Health Specialist Tuolumne County Environmental Health 2 South Green Street Sonora, California 95370

Mr. Kevin Graves State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 944212 Sacramento, California 94244-2102

Ms. Terry Brazell State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 944212 Sacramento, California 94244-2102

Mr. Charles McLaughlin Department of Toxic Substances Control 8800 Cal Center Drive Sacramento, California 95826-3200

Ms. Asha Arora Department of Toxic Substances Control 700 Heinz Avenue, Suite 200 Berkeley, California 94710

Mr. Ben Ho Office of the State Fire Marshal P.O. Box 944246 Sacramento, California 94244-2460

Mr. Brian Abeel Governor's Office of Emergency Services 3650 Schriever Avenue Mather, California 95655



LINDA S. ADAMS

SECRETARY FOR

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



1001 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814 • P.O. Box 2815, Sacramento, California 95812-2815 (916) 323-2514 • (916) 324-0908 Fax • www.calepa.ca.gov

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
GOVERNOR

CERTIFIED UNIFIED PROGRAM AGENCY EVALUATION SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

CUPA: Tuolumne County Environmental Health

Evaluation Date: February 4, 2009

EVALUATION TEAM Cal/EPA: Kareem Taylor

This Evaluation Summary of Findings includes the deficiencies identified during the evaluation, program observations and recommendations, and examples of outstanding program implementation activities. The evaluation findings are preliminary and subject to change upon review by state agency and CUPA management. Questions or comments can be directed to Kareem Taylor at (916) 327-9557.

Deficiency Preliminary Corrective
Action

| | <u>Benerey</u> | Action |
|---|---|--|
| | The CUPA is not billing its CalARP facilities the CUPA | By May 4, 2009, the CUPA will modify |
| | Oversight surcharge of \$24. The CalARP surcharge is | its billing system to include the CUPA |
| 1 | billed and collected regularly. | Oversight surcharge along with the |
| | | CalARP surcharge. |
| | CCR, Title 27, Section 15250 (Cal/EPA) | |
| | The CUPA did not complete its fiscal year (FY) | In subsequent FYs, the CUPA will |
| | 2006/2007 self audit. The FY 2007/2008 self | complete a self audit of its program by |
| 2 | audit was completed and included all of the | September 30 of each year. |
| | required elements. | |
| | | No further action is required to correct |
| | CCR, Title 27, Section 15280 (Cal/EPA) | this deficiency. |
| | The CUPA is not conducting Hazardous Waste Generator | By February 10, 2010 the CUPA will |
| | (HWG) inspections with a frequency that is consistent | inspect at least one third of its HWG |
| | with its Inspection and Enforcement Plan. Specifically, | facilities. |
| | the CUPA did not meet its scheduled HWG inspection | |
| | frequency of one inspection every three years. The | On the CUPA's first progress report, |
| | Annual Inspection Summary Reports show the following: | develop an action plan that details how |
| | | the CUPA plans to inspect all HWG |
| 3 | • In FY 07/08, the CUPA performed 11% or 15 out | facilities once every three years. |
| | of 141 HWG routine inspections. | |
| | • In FY 06/07, the CUPA performed 35% or 49 out | |
| | of 140 HWG routine inspections. | |
| | <u> </u> | |
| | • In FY 05/06, the CUPA did not perform any | |
| | HWG routine inspections. | |
| | | |
| | | |

Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) Evaluation Summary of Findings

| | Evaluation Summary of Find | |
|----------|---|--|
| | CCR, Title 27, Section 15200 (a) (3) (Cal/EPA) | D E 1 10 2010 1 CVD 1 33 |
| | The CUPA is not meeting the mandated inspection | By February 10, 2010 the CUPA will |
| | frequency for the business plan (BP) program of one | inspect at least one third of its BP |
| | inspection every three years. The Annual Inspection | facilities. |
| | Summary Reports show the following: | |
| | | On the CUPA's first progress report, |
| | • In FY 07/08, the CUPA performed 10% or 25 out | develop an action plan that details how |
| 4 | of 248 BP routine inspections. | the CUPA plans to inspect all BP |
| " | _ | facilities once every three years. |
| | • In FY 06/07, the CUPA performed 25% or 62 out | racinities once every times years. |
| | of 250 BP routine inspections. | |
| | • In FY 05/06, the CUPA performed 6% or 15 out | |
| | of 251 BP routine inspections. | |
| | | |
| | CCR, Title 27, Section 15200 (a) (2) (Cal/EPA) | |
| 1 | The CUPA is not meeting the mandated inspection | By February 10, 2010 the CUPA will |
| | frequency for the Tiered Permitting (TP) program of one | inspect all of its TP facilities. |
| | inspection every three years. The Annual Inspection | |
| 5 | Summary Reports show that no inspections were | On the CUPA's first progress report, |
| | performed for the TP program. | develop an action plan that details how |
| | portormed for the 11 program. | the CUPA plans to inspect all TP |
| | CCR, Title 27, Section 15200 (a) (2) (Cal/EPA) | |
| | | facilities once every three years. |
| | In some cases, the CUPA is not following-up and/or | By May 4, 2009, the CUPA will follow- |
| | documenting return to compliance (RTC) for businesses | up with businesses cited for violations |
| | cited for violations in their inspection reports and notices | and document RTC actions. In addition, |
| | of violation. Out of 19 files reviewed by Cal/EPA, 5 files | the CUPA will include the disposition of |
| | either did not contain documentation of RTC or CUPA | all previously cited violations (corrected |
| | follow-up documentation did not contain sufficient detail | or not) in the reinspection reports. |
| | to determine if all cited violations have been corrected. | |
| | Below are some examples of businesses cited for | On the CUPA's first progress report, the |
| | violations, but documentation of RTC was either | CUPA will submit to Cal/EPA an action |
| | insufficient or not found: | plan as to how it will promote |
| | insufficient of not found. | consistency in its follow-up actions. |
| | Durmall and Commons Duranes and increase! | consistency in its follow-up actions. |
| 6 | Durnall and Campora Propane – no inspection | |
| " | date was found | |
| | Sonora Auto and Truck Dismantling – inspected | |
| | 7-30-08 | |
| | • Pat's Machine and Welding – inspected 10-23-03 | |
| | Documenting facility RTC and CUPA follow-up actions | |
| | is required as part of the CUPA's implementation of its I | |
| | and E plan. In addition, this information is required for | |
| | | |
| | the CUPA's Annual Summary Reports. | |
| | HSC, Chapter 6.11, Section 25404.1.2 (c) (Cal/EPA) | |
| | CCR, Title 27, Section 15200 (a) | |
| | CCR, Title 27, Section 15185 (a) and (c) | |
| | The CUPA is not collecting, retaining, and managing | The CUPA will collect violation |
| 7 | violation classification information in their SWEEPS | information in the Envision database |
| | database or in any hardcopy format. To complete the | once it is operational. The CUPA |
| | | |

Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) Evaluation Summary of Findings

| | 1 |
|---|--|
| Annual Summary Reports, CUPA staff must search | expects to have Envision within a few |
| through each facility file by hand to determine violation | months. |
| classifications. The inspection reports do not contain | |
| violation classifications. The CUPA is currently | By May 4, 2009, the CUPA will collect, |
| transitioning their data management system from | retain, and manage violation |
| SWEEPS to Envision. The Envision application will | classification information. |
| collect violation information. | |
| | On the CUPA's first progress report, the |
| | CUPA will submit to Cal/EPA an update |
| CCR, Title 27, Section 15185 (a) and (c) | on the database transition process. |

| CUPA Representative | Kenneth Stuart | Original Signed |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | (Print Name) | (Signature) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Evaluation Team Leader | Kareem Taylor | Original Signed |
| | (Print Name) | (Signature) |

Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) Evaluation Summary of Findings

PROGRAM OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The observations and recommendations provided in this section address activities the CUPA are implementing and/or may include areas for continuous improvement not specifically required of the CUPA by regulation or statute.

1. Observation: Due to the problems the CUPA has experienced with succession, Tuolumne County Environmental Health CUPA has not been implemented effectively for the past three FYs. The CUPA has training records, but does not have a well-defined training program for new inspectors. Also, due to the nature of some the deficiencies, it appears that CUPA inspectors are not adequately trained in the Tiered Permitting program or in classifying violations.

Recommendation: Cal/EPA recommends that the CUPA develop a training curriculum for new inspectors. In addition, the CUPA may receive training in the Tiered Permitting program and in violation classification offered by DTSC. Asha Arora with DTSC has more information on the training. She can be reached at 510-540-3874 or by email at aarora@DTSC.ca.gov.

2. Observation: The CUPA does not classify violations as Class 1, Class 2, or minor on its inspection reports.

Recommendation: Cal/EPA recommends that the CUPA begin classifying violations as Class 1, Class 2, or minor on its inspection reports. The classification information may then be transferred to the CUPA's data management system and completion of future Annual Summary Reports can be expedited more effectively.

3. Observation: Cal/EPA verified that the CUPA has corrected many of its deficiencies cited during the 2006 evaluation. Although, there are significant deficiencies cited in this evaluation process (2009), Cal/EPA feels that the CUPA is working diligently to build an effective program. The CUPA is fully staffed and it is expected that the deficiencies cited will be corrected within a year.

Recommendation: none